Genetic Polarity By Dr. Victor Jean Ouellette, DC, MS

Genetic Polarity From Straight Observation and Human Thinking

Premise I

The political left and the political right are present in the human race because of genetic patterns in human DNA.

Humans start at birth from a point of Immaturity and Insecurity and must then learn to become mature and secure. This is where the problem starts. The **Learning Process** is affected by genetics. Some people cannot think deeply enough to solve complex problems so fall back on genetically-lead animal behaviours structured around believing that magic is real and then parse the world, and their experiences in it, from that point of view. That perspective taints their viewpoint preventing full human thought processes from analyzing the problem. This is a **GENETIC** problem. It is not really their fault. It is just the way it is.

Premise II

This learning problem is then passed along from patents to their children slowing, or preventing, the child's learning progress.

This problem will forever be present because the human race will forever be a duality between animal type thinking and human type thinking that is fundamentally structured by genetics. Human thinking searches for **evidence and facts** before coming to decisions. Animal thinking 'goes with the emotions' which require no such evidence or facts because emotions come from the ancient animal parts of the brain which do not utilise evidence or facts. This is the polarity that we see in the human race worldwide.

Epilogue Expectations

Expect one side of this polarity to reject these two premises outright with little to no human thought. Expect the other side to ask for evidence for these two premises. This dual behaviour will show the differences in each side of the polarity.

The New Problem Becomes!

The new problem then becomes "How do we get one genetic side to think about facts and ask for evidence when **they do not want to do that**?" Delving into the reasons why they do not want to do that is beyond the topic of this essay.

Each of these two divides look at each other and claim the other side does not understand them. Deep seated emotional, and irrational, resentment ensues from each side. Solutions elude us. So far, we are all still searching for solutions but we are each looking in different places?